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ABSTRACT

The African catfish *Clarias gariepinus* is a non-native fish species to Lake Naivasha. The timing and method of its introduction into the Lake is not clearly known, but it is thought that it probably came through the inflowing rivers; Malewa, Gilgil and Karati. The biology and ecology of catfish in Lake Naivasha is poorly known. This lack of knowledge makes it difficult to estimate the trophic level, reproductive capacity and ecological role of the species in the Lake. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the feeding habit, fecundity and biology of *C. gariepinus*. The study was conducted between November 2015 and January 2016 in four stations. The feeding habit was assessed by analysing the stomach contents of 400 fish using the point method. The major food items consumed were zooplankton 24.6%, fish 23.1% and insects 21.4%. Ontogenic shift in the diet was evident where juvenile fish fed on high proportion of phytoplankton, insects and detritus while adults fed more on cray fish and zooplankton. Food selection using Strauss linear index revealed positive prey selectivity for Bacillariophyceae, Chlorophyceae and Cyanophyceae and negative selection for Desmidiaceae, Dinophyceae and Euglenophyceae. The sex ratio was significantly different from the hypothetical ratio of 1:1 ($\chi^2=77.28$, df = 5, $P < 0.05$). Length-Weight relationship of males and females of *C. gariepinus* among all sampling stations was not significantly different ($t=0.373$, df = 6, $P > 0.05$) from the isometric exponent value of 3. Relative condition factor showed that *C. gariepinus* in Lake Naivasha are in a good condition with a value of 1 except at Crescent Island station with a value of 0.95. Length at first maturity ($L_{m50}$) of females and males was 18.9 cm and 42 cm respectively. Fecundity ranged from 1,260 to 354,361 eggs. There was significant variation in fecundity between different size classes (one way ANOVA, $F = 9.13$, df = 2, $P < 0.05$). The relationship between fecundity with total length and total weight were curvilinear while the relationship of fecundity with ovary weight was linear. A significant correlation was observed between fish condition factor and pH ($r = 0.83$, $P < 0.05$) and dissolved oxygen with fish length-weight relationship ($r = 0.87$, $P < 0.05$). The relationships between temperature and conductivity with condition factor and length-weight relationship were not significant ($P > 0.05$). This study found out that *C. gariepinus* is a generalist and has an opportunistic feeding behaviour ingesting a variety of food organisms ranging from phytoplankton to fish. In addition, the biology of *C. gariepinus* is affected by key water quality parameters such as pH and dissolved oxygen in Lake Naivasha.
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CHAPTER ONE
INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background information
The African Catfish *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell, 1822) is distributed widely in Africa ranging from the Nile River Basin to West Africa and Algeria in the North (Solak and Akyurt, 2001). They inhabit freshwater lakes, rivers, swamps and lagoons as well as man-made habitats (Nyamweya et al., 2010). African Catfish is known for its hardiness and adaptability to various adverse environmental conditions. They are omnivorous and feed on a variety of organisms including fish and plant materials. Catfishes have a suprabranchial air breathing organ which function as a lung enabling them to breath and survive in low oxygen concentrations and survive long periods out of water (Abidjan and Ivoire, 2014). *Clarias gariepinus* is an important food fish and one of the most important commercial freshwater species (Dadebo, 2000; Nyamweya et al., 2010). Studies have shown that, the African Catfish is also generally considered to be one of the most successful fish species in tropical aquaculture (Nyamweya et al., 2010). Kwak et al., (2011) showed that the ecology of *C. gariepinus* is relatively well studied in some African Lakes including Lakes Victoria and Baringo in Kenya. However, nothing is known about the species in Lake Naivasha apart from recent studies on its length-weight relationships and condition factor in the lake (Keyombe et al., 2015).

Earlier studies indicate that apart from providing food, the fingerlings of *C. gariepinus* are used as baitfish for the Nile Perch fishery in lake Victoria due to their resistance to extreme conditions and can wriggle on the hook for over a week (Ponzoni and Nguyen, 2008). According to Lung’ayia, (1994), *C. gariepinus* is euryphagous in its feeding habit and breeds in rainy seasons during high water levels in the months of April-June and September-October.

Studies in Lake Baringo showed that the native population of *C. gariepinus* is economically exploited (Nyamweya et al., 2010; Omondi et al., 2013). The fishery production in the Lake Baringo ranged from 500 to 600 t year\(^{-1}\) by the late 1960’s, declining to <200 t year\(^{-1}\) by the late 1980s (Nyamweya et al., 2010). *Clarias gariepinus*, is an exotic fish species in Lake Naivasha and was observed for the first time by Kenya Marine Fisheries Research Institute (KMFRI) in 2012, during regular fish stock assessment (Keyombe et al., 2015). Despite its
ecological and commercial importance, very little is known about *C. gariepinus* in Lake Naivasha. This study provides some data on the feeding ecology, fecundity and other biological aspects of *C. gariepinus* in the lake. Furthermore, management options for the fish stock with continuous utilization of the resource by communities dependent on the fish around the lake will be proposed.

**1.2 Statement of the problem**

Lake Naivasha supports a productive fishery that provides jobs and incomes as well as being an important source of protein for local communities. The Lake fishing activity is based on introduced fish species such as *Cyprinus carpio* (L.), *Micropterus salmoides* (LaCepède), *Tilapia zillii* (Gervais), *Oreochromis leucostictus* (Trewavas) and *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Clarias gariepinus* is one of the non-native fish species which was introduced recently and first sighted in the year 2012. The incidental introduction of catfish in the lake could probably have come through the rivers flowing into the lake. Since catfish is non-native to the lake, its ecological consequences on fish assemblage and other biota is not known. Fish biology based studies are therefore necessary for understanding the trophic relationship of the fish and for sustainable utilization of the fishery resources as well. Since very little research has been done previously, assessing aspects of the ecology and biology of *C. gariepinus* is crucial for Lake Naivasha. The data obtained during this study will be important in assessing the ecological role of catfish in lake. The absence of this vital information provides a challenge in coming up with proper management and sustainable utilization of stocks in the future in Lake.

**1.3 Objectives**

**1.3.1 General objective**

To investigate feeding habit, fecundity and other biological aspects of the African catfish *C. gariepinus* in Lake Naivasha, Kenya.

**1.3.2 Specific objectives**

i). To determine food and feeding habit of *C. gariepinus* in different parts of Lake Naivasha.

ii). To estimate fecundity in different size classes of *C. gariepinus* in Lake Naivasha.

iii). To determine some biological aspects (length at first maturity, length-weight relationship, condition factor and sex ratio) of male and female *C. gariepinus* in Lake Naivasha.
1.4 Hypotheses
   i). There is no spatial significant difference in food and feeding habit of *Clarias gariepinus* in Lake Naivasha.
   
   ii). Fecundity of *Clarias gariepinus* does not vary significantly between different size classes in Lake Naivasha.

   iii). Length at first maturity, length-weight relationship, condition factor and sex ratio of *Clarias gariepinus* do not vary significantly between males and females in Lake Naivasha.

1.5 Justification
*Clarias gariepinus* is an ecologically and economically important fish species in Kenya. It is one of the favoured aquaculture fish species in the tropics due to its rapid growth and resistance to stress. Scientific knowledge about catfish ecology and biology is required for sustainable exploitation and management of the stocks. However, studies on aspects of ecology and biology of catfish in Lake Naivasha are poorly known and undocumented. Lack of such vital information provides a challenge to fishery managers in assessing the impacts of management actions and anthropogenic influence on the resource they manage. Moreover, since the fish is exotic to the lake, ecological effects especially as a predator are not studied, documented and quantified. Therefore, this study will provide baseline data on the feeding habit, fecundity, size at first maturity and sex ratio of *Clarias gariepinus* in Lake Naivasha. The results obtained will be important for management and conservation of fisheries resources and for sustainable utilization of catfish by communities around the lake.
CHAPTER TWO
LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Global status of Catfish research

Catfish science is a diverse and growing field in terms of advances and scope of the topics. Growth of catfish studies reveals increase of interest in the species by anglers as a popular sport fish, by consumers as a food fish, and by aquaculturist as appropriate candidate for species propagation. The overall trend of increasing interest in catfish science through time is considered by changes in time based variation in magnitude and direction (Kwak et al., 2011). Scientific studies and publications on catfish varied over the past century with strong peaks during 1975–1979 and 2005–2010, which may be due to joint scientific and societal encouragements. In 1990s, catfish biology was a most important publication topic when ecology and management publications come to be familiar (Michaletz and Travnichek 2011; Kwak et al., 2011). Catfish ecology was most published in proceedings of two international catfish symposia. “Catfish 2000: The First International Ictalurids Symposium” and “Catfish 2010: The Second International Symposium” (Irwin et al., 1999; Michaletz and Travnichek 2011) are among the most important modern literature on catfish science. While biology of catfish has been the prevalent topic of journal publication over the past century, that topic was poorly demonstrated in the two symposia (Kwak et al., 2011). In Africa, the biology and ecology of catfish in some Rift valley lakes have been studied by various researchers (Lung’ayia, 1994; Dadebo, 2000; Ponzoni and Nguyen, 2008; Nyamweya et al., 2010; Omondi et al., 2013; Keyombe et al., 2015).

2.2 Biology and Ecology of C. gariepinus

The African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus* is a freshwater fish species in the family Clariidae and belongs to the order Siluriformes. Catfishes are a highly diverse and widely distributed group of fish including more than 3000 species around the world (Ferraris, 2007). They are air breathing fish characterized by scale-less, bony, elongated body, with long dorsal and anal fins and helmet like head (Figure 1). The colour varies from dark to light brown dorsally and pale cream to white colour in the underside. They can grow very large with a maximum catch weight of 293 kg of the Mekong giant catfish recorded in the year 2005 (Hogan, 2011). The dorsal fin has 61-80 soft rays and the anal fin has 45-65 soft rays. They have strong pectoral fins with spines that are serrated on the outer side. Catfish are economically and ecologically important fish species in Africa and in other tropical countries (Davies et al., 2013). They
also play a key role in sport fishing by anglers. They possess many qualities which make them adapt to various environmental conditions. These include fast growth, resistance to diseases and possession of an air breathing suprabranchial organ. This organ helps them to breathe and survive in low oxygen concentrations (Davies et al., 2013).

Spawning of catfish mostly takes place at night in shallow inundated areas of rivers and streams. Males show highly aggressive behaviour during courtship. Mating and courtship takes place in the shallow waters between isolated pairs of males and females. The male lies in U-Shaped curve with head of females held for several seconds. A batch of milt and egg is released followed by distribution of eggs by females over a wide area. There is no parental care to ensure survival of catfish offspring except for appropriate site selection. According to FAO (2012), egg and larva development is rapid and the larva is able to swim within 48-72 hours after fertilization.

Figure 1: Morphological characteristics of *C. gariepinus* (FAO, 2012)

Catfishes are found in every continent in wide ranges of aquatic ecosystems and climatic conditions (Armbruster, 2011). Leonard et al., (2010), demonstrated that catfish populations are linked to numerous habitat variables at multiple scales. Latitudinal differences were found within Alabama small impoundments at relatively small scales and Mississippi streams showed variation in growth and maturation rates (Kwak et al., 2011). Potts et al., (2008) stated that, feeding and growth of sharp tooth catfish *C. gariepinus* were related to trophic status in South Africa reservoirs. Another study showed that the environment and anthropogenic pressures affect species richness of catfish assemblages in Iowa, which were affected by gravel mining, heavy metals, impoundments and reduced annual flows (Sindt et al., 2011; Wildhaber, 2011). Catfish species were also shown to negatively affect other fish communities when they are introduced beyond their native range. Research conducted over the previous decade demonstrated that *in situ* investigations are well suited for examining diet
and reproductive studies. These studies defined the ecological functions of catfish in aquatic ecosystems (Kwak et al., 2011).

2.3 Feeding habits and food selectivity
Determining the feeding behaviour and how diet affects the nutrition and growth of fish is crucial in considering the ecological role of the population in aquatic systems. Feeding methods of *Clarias* range from benthic omnivory, piscivory and also include specialists in seed and wood eating (Goulding, 1980; German, 2009). Catfish shows ontogenetic shift, as large individuals shift their diet towards other fish as they grow bigger. However, biotic and environmental factors can influence these shifts in feeding (Willoughby and Tweddle, 1978; Brewster, 2007; Omondi et al., 2013). Catfish feed on zooplankton during adult stage in Lake Baringo, which is an unusual feeding behaviour due to probably lack of alternative prey for large fish in the lake (Omondi et al., 2013).

Food selection in fish is related to the size and food availability, which is governed by the presence and abundance of certain prey types in the environment (Kahlilainen and Lehtonen, 2003; Cantanhede et al., 2009). In early life stages, catfishes are invertebrativores depending on aquatic insects and crayfish as food, but as they mature they completely become carnivores, feeding mainly on live fish (Jackson, 1999; Pine, 2003; Brewster, 2007). Invertebrates are a significant part of the diet, but catfish starts to feed on fish at a smaller size when aquatic invertebrates are limited. Feeding selectivity of the fish is calculated by using frequency of prey items found in the stomach contents relative to the availability in the environment. This helps in determining the potential impact of introduced species in native fish assemblages (Pine et al., 2005). Turesson et al., (2002), reported that selective predation is the common phenomena to most predators mainly for piscivorous fishes. In a given system, information on the kind of food items preferred over the other is obtained using selectivity index.

2.4 Length-weight relationship and relative condition factor (Kn)
The length-weight relationship of fishes is important for fisheries biology and fish stock assessments in all water bodies. It provides important information on stock composition, and fish population structure (Hirpo, 2013). During sampling programs, it is easier to measure length only while the weight cannot be measured simply due to the wave actions. The length-weight relationship of particular species allows the interconversion of these parameters and for comparison of morphometric characters between species and populations (Keyombe et al.,
The length-weight relationship applications are significant when estimating the standing stock biomass and comparing the life history of particular fish species between regions (Moutopoulos and Stergiou, 2002). Length-weight relationship is therefore important in fishery biology because it allows the estimation of the average weight of fish of a given length group by establishing a mathematical relation between the two (Davies et al., 2013).

Relative condition factor (Kn) is an indication of the wellbeing or health status of the fish (Blackwell et al., 2000). It is therefore an index reflecting interactions between biotic and abiotic factors or the physiological condition of the fish. Condition factor (Kn) is vital for understanding the management of the fish stock and assessment of the potential of any fishery to support the fishing pressure. Fish of good condition factor are assumed to have greater reproductive potential, faster growth rate and high survival. In biological studies, the condition of the fish is important for understanding the life cycle of fish which contributes to the maintenance of equilibrium in the ecosystem (Moutopoulos and Stergiou, 2002). Therefore, measures of fish condition factor are of important value to fisheries managers in assessing the impacts of management actions and human influence on the resource. Several researchers have studied the condition factor of *C. gariepinus* in some African waters (Dadebo et al., 2011; Davies et al., 2013; Konan et al., 2014) and results showed that condition factor of catfish were >1 during breeding and in wet season and lower in dry season.

### 2.5 Fecundity of catfish

Fecundity is defined as the number of ripening eggs found in the female just prior to spawning (Bagenal and Tesch, 1978). Kapoor and Khanna (2004), shown that fecundity is essential for studies of population dynamics and life history of fish. Potential annual fecundity is the total number of advanced yolked oocytes matured per year, while the batch fecundity is the number of eggs spawned per batch (Hunter et al., 1992). Fecundity calculations are of paramount importance in fishery management for estimating the number of offspring’s produced in a season and reproductive capacity of species. Under suitable environmental conditions, a matured female of *C. gariepinus* can lay around 60,000 eggs/ kg of body weight (FAO, 2012). There is a direct relationship between fecundity and total length, total weight and gonad weight of fish. Generally, fecundity increases with increasing brood fish size, but the egg size may vary from one spawning to another and the number of eggs contained in a specific volume may also be different (Carrillo et al., 2000). Several researchers have reported fecundity of catfish from some African waters (Lung’ayia, 1994;
Dadebo, 2000; Dadebo et al., 2011; Abdijan and Ivorie, 2014; Konan et al., 2014). However, there is no information on fecundity of catfish in Lake Naivasha.

2.6 Length at first maturity (L_{50})

Length at first maturity (L_{50}) is the length at which 50% of the fish are mature. Correct estimation of L_{50} gives vital information to fisheries managers in setting mesh sizes that will target mature fish which have contributed to the new generation and gives juvenile fish time to grow and mature (Clark, 1991; Reynolds et al., 2005; Karna et al., 2012). Due to the fact that fishing rates are usually set to keep a certain percentage of spawning females in a population, monitoring size and age at maturity is common and these are important parameters used in stock assessment (Matthews, 2012). Bruton, (1979) reported that the African catfish attain its first maturity at around 35 cm in length after a period of their second year of age.

2.7 Non-native catfish introduction and impacts

Catfish have been broadly introduced outside their natural range purposely and by accident as sport fishes, as food, as bait and through aquaculture activities. The timing and method of introduction of these species is not precisely known. Kwak et al., (2011) points out that elimination of exotic catfish populations becomes challenging once established. Fuller et al., (1999) also found out that introduced flathead catfish have been involved in the decline and loss of a number of sport fishes and amphibians in North America. Pine et al., (2007) reported a 50% decrease of biomass of native fish after the establishment of non-native flathead catfish in Cape Fear River of North Carolina.

Similarly, Cambray (2003) discovered that, the sharptooth catfish in Africa affects aquatic amphibian and other biota. Various researchers (Guier et al., 1984; Thomas, 1985; Pine et al., 2007; Keller, 2011; Kwak et al., 2011; Schloesser et al., 2011) demonstrated that a number of exotic catfish introductions affect native fish species and other biota in most parts of the world and in African waters. Ricciardi, (2001) found out that introduction of exotic fish species in the Laurentian Great Lakes negatively impacted the food webs, native fish, amphibians, aquatic invertebrates, zooplankton and algae. According to Kwak et al., (2011), ecological effects of introduced catfish population vary widely in their function and degree. Lake Naivasha has a long history of species introductions since 1920s, with examples of alien fishes, mammals, plants and invertebrates (Harper and Mavuti, 2004). Hickley et al., (2008) discovered that the introduction of piscivorous bass *Micropterus salmoides* (LaCepède)
destroyed the unique single species *A. antinorri* "Naivasha" in the lake. Similarly, the exotic crayfish *Procamarus clarkii* (Girard) caused modification of aquatic food webs and disappearance of floating water lilies that caused increase of turbidity in the lake (Harper, 1992). Since *C. gariepinus* is an exotic fish species in Lake Naivasha, its ecological impact on other fish species in the lake is not known.

### 2.8 The fish assemblage, introductions and fisheries in Lake Naivasha

The lake Naivasha fishery is based on introduced fish species (Hickely *et al.*, 2015). The single native fish species, *A. antinorii* (Vinciguerra), was last recorded in 1962 (Hickley *et al.*, 1994). The introduced fish communities currently existing in the lake include: *C. carpio* (L.), *O. leucostictus* (Trewavas), *M. salmoides* (Lacepédé), *T. zillii* (Gervais), *P. reticulata* Peters, *O. mykiss* (Walbaum) and *B. paludinosus* (Peters). Recently the African Sharp-tooth catfish *C. gariepinus* was caught by researchers at Oserian Bay and Crescent Island and its length-weight relationship and condition factor was studied (Keyombe *et al.*, 2015). It first appeared in catches in October 2012 (Table 1). The commercial fishery depends mainly on *C. carpio* in terms of biomass followed by *O. leucostictus* (Trewavas) and *O. niloticus* (Hickely *et al.*, 2015). The fishery was also remarkably supported by riverine cyprinid, the Longfin barb *B. paludinosus* (Peters) and crayfish, *P. clarkii* (Girard) until 1980s (Njiru *et al.*, 2015). By the year 2013, the fishery was dominated by *C. carpio* which contributed over 95% of the total fish landings, while the remaining species contributed 5% of the total relative abundance computed from CPUE of the commercial catches (Aloo *et al.*, 2013).

Table 1: The biomass (kg) of fish species landed by the commercial fishery during 2011, 2012 and 2013 with 50 boats operating.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th><em>M. salmoides</em></th>
<th><em>T. zillii</em></th>
<th><em>O. leucostictus</em></th>
<th><em>O. niloticus</em></th>
<th><em>C. carpio</em></th>
<th><em>C. gariepinus</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>287 897</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>142 533</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2381</td>
<td>5905</td>
<td>220 373</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Hickely *et al.*, 2015*

Gill-net fishery is the basis for *Common carp, Tilapia* and *Barbus* species. Bass are taken mainly by rod and line for sport. *Barbus* is also occasionally caught by dip net (Hickley *et al.*, 1994).
CHAPTER THREE
MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 Study area
Lake Naivasha is a shallow freshwater lake in a closed basin in the Great Rift Valley of Kenya. Its freshness is attributed to surface water inflow, biogeochemical sedimentation and underground seepage (Gaudet and Melack, 1981). The lake has a surface area that ranges between 120 and 150 km² subject to the dry and wet seasons respectively, with a mean depth of 4-6 m (Njiru et al., 2015). The lake is located at an altitude of 1885 m a.s.l between latitudes 00°45’ S – 00°53’ S and longitudes 36°15’ E – 36°30’ E. In the year 1995, Lake Naivasha was declared a Ramsar wetland giving it international importance due to its freshness and diverse ecology. The mean temperature of the Lake is approximately 25°C, with a maximum temperature of 30°C (Ndungu et al., 2013). Its mean annual rainfall ranges from about 60 mm at the Naivasha township to some 170 mm along the slopes of the Nyandarua mountains, with open water evaporation estimated at approximately 172 cm/year (Awange et al., 2013).

3.2 Study design
3.2.1 Sampling sites
The study was conducted in four different stations in Lake Naivasha (Figure 2). The stations were: 1. Oserian Bay (00°45’40.4” S, 036°21’20” E), 2. Crescent Island (00°48’ 47” S, 036° 17’52.8” E), 3. Malewa River mouth (00°43’11.3”S, 036°20’1.14” E) and Central Beach (00° 43’ 42.1” S, 036° 24’ 53.0”E). Crescent Island is a station in Crater Lake located in the eastern side which occasionally separate from the main lake during low water levels (Ndungu et al., 2013). Crescent Island and Oserian Bay are in the open waters and characterized by occasional invasion by floating mats of water hyacinth (Eichhornia crassipes) and papyrus (Cyperus papyrus) vegetation. In addition, Oserian Bay is a protected breeding area of the fish. Malewa River is located in the northern side and contributes 80% of discharge into the lake (Ndungu et al., 2013). Central Beach is located close to wetlands in the north of the lake and Naivasha town, which is the shallow part and characterized by sandy silt, muddy substrate with decayed plant materials. The average depths of the sites were 4.5, 12.2, 2.6 and 1.4 m respectively. The selection criteria of the above study stations were based on a pilot survey conducted for assessing the presence and possibility of catching catfish.
Figure 2: A map of Lake Naivasha showing the sampling stations (Source: Topographic Map of Kenya, scale 1:50,000).
3.2.2 Physico-chemical parameters
The physico-chemical parameters were measured at each sampling station before the start of fish sampling. Parameters such as temperature (°C), dissolved oxygen (mg/L), pH and conductivity (µS/cm) were measured in situ using a Multi probe HQ40D meter (specification: HACH LDO; PHC301 and CDC41). Secchi disk of size 20 cm in diameter was used to determine the transparency of the lake at each sampling site. The disk was lowered vertically into the water until it just disappeared and then raised. The average depth of disappearance of the disk and the depth at which it was appeared as it is raised was used to determine the Secchi depth transparency.

3.2.3 Fish sampling
Samples of *C. gariepinus* were collected three times a month from November 2015 to January 2016, by setting gill nets of mesh size 50-200 mm at the four sampling stations. Gillnets were usually set in each sampling station and retrieved after five hours of fishing. In addition, mosquito nets were also used to get small fishes from the shallow littoral area. The seining was carried out using mosquito nets of length 195 cm x 250 m and mesh size of 3.4 mm. After removal, each fish was weighed to the nearest grams (g) using an electronic balance (Sartorius ED4202S) and total length was measured to the nearest cm by using a measuring board. The fish was taken to the laboratory, dissected and sex of individual fish was determined based on the method stated by Murua et al., (2003) and Muchlisin, (2014) as in Table 2.
Table 2: A generalized classification of gonad developmental stages in *Clarias gariepinus* 
(Source: Murua et al., 2003 and Muchlisin, 2014).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maturity stages</th>
<th>Ovaries</th>
<th>Testes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immature (I)</td>
<td>Colourless, small transparent ovaries without visible oocytes</td>
<td>Small flat, translucent to whitish, poorly developed with reduced fringes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop (II)</td>
<td>Large orange pale oocytes light yellow in colour, may be visible through the ovary tunic.</td>
<td>Testes more enlarged, whitish with voluminous fringes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mature (III)</td>
<td>Very large ovaries, filling part of body cavity with blood capillaries, yellow/orange coloured</td>
<td>Very large, firm, white in colour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ripe (IV)</td>
<td>Occupying the entire abdominal cavity, ovulated oocytes can be fully expelled from the oviduct with gentle pressure</td>
<td>Fully developed, turgid fringes, milky whitish in colour. Milt run out of the fish.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent (V)</td>
<td>Ovary wall thick and blood capillaries are big. Few remaining large oocytes observed and smaller size oocytes will be seen.</td>
<td>Bloody and flaccid fringes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2.4 Phytoplankton and zooplankton samples

Integrated vertical samples of phytoplankton and zooplankton were collected using 25 µm and 50 µm mesh size nets respectively. The nets were dragged vertically from the bottom to the surface of the water. The samples were concentrated and transferred into 250 mL labelled vials. Lugol’s solution (1 mL per litre) and 4% formalin were used for preservation for phytoplankton and for zooplankton respectively. In the laboratory, phytoplankton samples were stored in the dark for 24 hrs to allow settling. From the settled samples of phytoplankton, excess water was removed using a pipette and the rest of the sample was shaken for uniform distribution. A subsample of 1 mL was diluted in 19 mL of distilled water. Zooplankton samples were mixed immediately and a sub sample of 1 mL was diluted with 19 mL of distilled water. Identification of phytoplankton and crustacean zooplankton was done using keys in (Lizeth, 2001) and (Witty, 2004) respectively, while rotifers and protozoans were identified according to keys by (Kutikova, 2002) and (Fernando, 2002) respectively. For enumeration, 5 mL of the diluted subsample was used for both
phytoplankton and zooplankton, respectively. The 5 mL subsample was placed on a Sedgwick Rafter and observed under the Motic BA210 inverted compound microscope (magnification at: x400 & x200) for identification and counting for phytoplankton and zooplankton, respectively. For every Sedgwick Rafter field with the diluted subsample, five fields were counted in triplicate and an average obtained (the location of the five fields was aided with Whipple Square). The number of organisms in the 5 mL undiluted subsample was computed by, multiplying the average organisms obtained in the five fields multiplied by the dilution factor (1:20mL). Then it was further multiplied by the volume of the undiluted subsample (5 mL). Both the volume of subsample and the volume of the sample used for analysis were taken into account. The number of phytoplankton and zooplankton per litre of lake water (D) was calculated using equation by Goswami, (2004):

$$D = \frac{N}{V}$$

(1)

Where,

N = Number of organisms in sample which was obtained by;

$$N = \frac{\text{No. in sub sample} \times \text{Vol. of sample}}{\text{sub sample volume}}$$

(2)

V= Volume of lake water filtered which was obtained by;

$$V = \pi r^2 d$$

(3)

Where,

r = radius of mouth of net

d = depth of haul

3.2.5 Stomach content analysis

Stomach contents were identified using the point method (Hynes, 1950). In this method, each food item in the stomach was allotted a certain number of points based on its volume. The fullness of the stomach was taken into account in the allotment of points. Full stomach was given 16 points (Table 3.) Thus points awarded from 0 to 16 were as follows: 0= empty stomach; 1= < quarter stomach; 2=quarter full stomach; 4=half full stomach; 8=three quarter full stomach; 16=full stomach. In the laboratory, the contents of stomach were transferred
into a petridish and food items were identified and sorted into different categories. Large food items such as fish were identified visually. Smaller food items were sorted and counted by using the inverted compound microscope (magnification: x400). Stomach contents were assessed separately into each 10 cm length group to compare the contribution of the food item in each size class (ontogenic shift). The percentage contribution of each food item to stomach fullness in each size class was calculated on the basis of the awarded points using the equation by Zacharia, (1974);

$$\% \text{ contribution} = \frac{A}{B} \times 100$$

(4)

Where,

A= point given for each food item

B= total point given for all foods in each stomach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fullness of the stomach</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-quarter full</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half full</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarter full</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than quarter</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Hynes, 1950).

3.2.6 Food selection

Most fishes have a range of preference for the organisms in the environment, so that some food items are consumed in large numbers, others moderately and some not at all. The index of such difference is called selection ratio. Phytoplankton in both the stomach and in the water samples were identified using “phytoplankton identification catalogue” (Lizeth, 2001). While, zooplankton were identified using the “practical guide to identifying fresh water crustacean zooplankton” (Witty, 2004). Strauss Linear index was used to calculate food selection from the formula of Strauss, (1979);

$$E = \frac{[r_i - p_i]}{[r_i + p_i]}$$

(5)
Where, \( r_i \) is the portion of plankton group in the stomach of the fish and \( p_i \) is the portion of the same group in the Lake. The index has a possible range of values between 1 and -1. Prey items with Strauss positive index value indicate the food item is positively selected by the fish and was considered preferred. Prey items with negative values indicate the food item was not preferred by the fish and was considered avoided and 0 indicate random selection (Zacharia, 1974). The guts contents of individuals of \( C. \) gariepinus were investigated to measure selective predation of different prey items. Each stomach was emptied into a petridish and diluted to 40 mL of distilled water. A sub-sample of 5 mL was collected using a pipette and placed under inverted compound microscope (Motic BA 210) at x40 and x20 magnification for identification and counting for phytoplankton and zooplankton respectively. The mean proportion of individual prey items in the gut \( (r_i) \) of \( C. \) gariepinus and the mean proportion of prey items in the lake \( (p_i) \) was calculated and used to estimate Strauss E- values.

### 3.2.7 Fecundity

Ovarian developmental stage IV was used for counting of oocytes. Fish maturity stages I-III were considered immature and stage V had already started releasing eggs and remained with a few oocytes. The ovaries were kept in well labelled polythene bags and preserved in Gilson’s fluid which helps to break the ovarian tissue and to harden the eggs. Each ovary was weighed after washing off the preservative (using running water) and removal of excess water using filter paper. Sartorius ED4202S balance was used for weighing of ovaries (Max. 4200g, d= 0.01g). In this method, 20 mg sample of ovary was taken separately from anterior, middle and posterior portions of each ovarian lobe, weighed and eggs were counted. The average number of eggs in 20 mg sample was determined and used to calculate the total number of eggs in each ovary using the formula by Hunter et al., (1985);

\[
F = \frac{n \times G}{g}
\]  

Where, \( F= \) Fecundity, \( G= \) Total weight of the ovaries

\( n = \) number of eggs in subsample, \( g = \) weight of the three samples

The relationship between fecundity and some morphometric measurements (TL, TW and OW) were determined using regression analysis (Pearson Product Moment Correlation). A
total of thirty six matured females of *C. gariepinus* were used for measuring egg sizes. From each ovary, individual eggs were randomly picked and the diameter was measured using a calibrated eyepiece graticule inserted in the eyepiece of the inverted microscope (1 division= 24.4 mm) at x20 magnification. From this, the diameter of matured eggs for individual ovary was estimated.

3.2.8 Length at first maturity (Lm50) and sex ratio
Length at first maturity (Lm50) was determined from the relationship between percentage of male and female fish with mature gonads plotted against different length classes using the logistic curve. Fish maturity stages I, II & III were considered immature, while stages IV and V were considered mature and used for Lm50 calculation.

The sex ratio (male: female) was analysed for each 10 cm size class and the null hypothesis was tested with deviation from 1:1 using Chi square test.

3.2.9 Length–weight relationship and relative condition factor (Kn)
The length-weight relationship of fish in each sex category was calculated using the formula by Wooton, (1990);

\[ W = aL^b \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Where \( W \) is body weight of fish in grams, \( L \) the total length in cm, \( a \), the intercept and \( b \) the slope of the regression line.

Le Cren’s (1951), modified formula was used for calculation of the relative condition factor;

\[ Kn = \frac{W}{aL^b} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Where \( Kn \) is the condition factor \( W \) is the body weight of the fish in grams, \( L \) length in centimetres, \( a \), is the intercept and \( b \) is the slope of the regression line.
3.3 Data management and analysis

Data collected was stored in Microsoft excel 2013 and statistically analysed using PASW version 21. All tests were carried out at 95% CI and the data subjected to a normality and homogeneity of variance tests. Normality distribution of data was checked using Shapiro-Wilk test while homogeneity of variance by Levene’s test. The data for fecundity within different size classes was normally distributed while the data for fecundity with morphometric measurements were not normally distributed. Log transformation was used to conduct parametric tests. Pearson Product Moment Correlation was used to test relationship of fecundity with total length, total weight and gonad weight. The variation of fecundity within different size classes and comparison of physico-chemical parameters among stations was tested using one way ANOVA. Correlation of physico-chemical parameters with fish condition factor and length weight relationship was tested using Pearson Product Moment Correlation. T-test was used to investigate the difference in slopes of regression line from the isometric exponent value of \( b=3 \) between sexes. The relative condition factor values between sexes was tested using Mann Whitney test. Length at first maturity was investigated by fitting frequency data of mature fishes against length classes using logistic curve. The sex ratio was analysed in 10 cm size classes. Chi-square test was used to check the deviations of sex ratio from 1:1 and to identify spatial feeding pattern among stations.
CHAPTER FOUR
RESULTS

4.1 Fish morphometrics and physico-chemical parameters

Dissolved oxygen, pH, temperature and conductivity showed significant variations among stations (one way ANOVA, df=3, P < 0.05). The highest value (mean ± SD) of dissolved oxygen was recorded at Malewa River Mouth (7.5±1.2 mg/L) while the lowest value was recorded at Central Beach (5.4±0.5 mg/L). The values of dissolved oxygen (mean ± SD) at Oserian Bay and Crescent Island were 6.2±1.9 and 6.1±1.2 mg/L, respectively. The highest pH value was recorded at Central Beach (11.1) and lowest at Malewa River Mouth (8.4). The pH values at Oserian Bay and Crescent Island were 10.1 and 8.8 respectively. The highest value (mean ± SD) of temperature was recorded at Malewa River Mouth and Oserain Bay stations (24.4±0.8°C) and the lowest was at Crescent Island (21.8±0.9°C). The highest value (mean ± SD) of conductivity was recorded at Crescent Island (283.0±4.6 µS/cm) and lowest at Malewa River Mouth (268.4±2.2 µS/cm). The values of conductivity (mean ± SD) at Oserian Bay and Central Beach were 281.4±4.4 and 273.4±5.8 µS/cm, respectively. A significant positive correlation was observed between fish condition factor and pH (r = 0.83; P < 0.05; Figure 3a). On the other hand, dissolved oxygen showed significant positive correlation with fish length-weight relationship (r = 0.87; P < 0.05; Figure 3b). The relationships between temperature, dissolved oxygen and conductivity with condition factor and length weight-relationship were not significant (P > 0.05).

Figure 3: The correlation of pH with fish, (a) condition factor and (b) dissolved oxygen with length-weight relationship of *C. gariepinus*. 
4.2 Phytoplankton and zooplankton abundance

4.2.1 Phytoplankton
Bacillariophyceae, dominated in all sampling stations. Oserian Bay and Central Beach showed a high number of species with dominant algae being *Aulacoseira* sp., *Pseudoastrum* sp., *Synedra* sp., and *Melosira* sp. Few specimens of *Cymbella* sp., *Ankyra* sp., and *Merismopedia* sp., were recorded at Crescent Island and Malewa stations. *Microcystis* belonging to Cyanophyceae was dominantly found at Malewa station, while relatively few numbers were recorded at Oserian Bay, Crescent Island and Central Beach stations (Table 4). *Cymbella* sp., and *Ankyra* sp., were absent from Malewa and Crescent Island stations, whereas *Navicula* sp., were absent from Crescent Island and Central Beach stations. In the other hand, *Peridinium* sp., *Coelastrum* sp., *Staurodesmus* sp., and *Merismopedia* sp., were absent from Central Beach station. From the Dinophyceae family, *Peridinium* sp., were absent from Oserian Bay and Malewa stations.

4.2.2 Zooplankton
Zooplankton belonging to Rotifera, namely *Keratella cochlearis* were the dominant species in all sampling stations followed by *Tricocerca* sp., and *polyarthra* sp. From Brachionidae family, *B. calyciflorus* and *B. falcatus* were absent from Crescent Island station while few specimens were recorded at Malewa and Central Beach stations. Among Synchaetidae family, Malewa station recorded high number of *Polyarthra* sp., while few specimens were recorded at Crescent Island, Oserian Bay and Central Beach stations respectively. In the Cyclopoidae family of Copepoda, *Thermocyclops* sp., were the dominant species at Oserian Bay and Crescent Island stations while few specimens were recorded at Malewa and Central Beach stations. Among the Daphniidae family of Cladocera, a relatively higher number of *Ceriodaphnia* sp., were recorded at Oserian Bay, Crescent Island and Central Beach stations while few specimens were recorded at Malewa station (Table 5).

List of species and abundance of phytoplankton and zooplankton species identified from four sampling stations in Lake Naivasha are presented in Tables 4 and 5;
Table 4: List of species and abundance (individuals per litre) of phytoplankton at four sampling stations in Lake Naivasha.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Oserian Bay</th>
<th>Crescent Island</th>
<th>Malewa River Mouth</th>
<th>Central Beach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacillariophyceae</td>
<td><em>Melosira</em> sp.</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>68.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Aulacoseira</em> sp.</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>45.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Synedra</em> sp.</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Cymbella</em> sp.</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Navicula</em> sp.</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophyceae</td>
<td><em>Ankyra</em> sp.</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Pediastrum</em> sp.</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Coelastrum</em> sp.</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmidiaceae</td>
<td><em>Staurastrum</em> sp.</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Staurodesmus</em> sp.</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Pseudostaurastum</em> sp.</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinophyceae</td>
<td><em>Ceratium</em> sp.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Peridinium</em> sp.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euglenophyceae</td>
<td><em>Phacus</em> sp.</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanophyceae</td>
<td><em>Microcystis</em> sp.</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Merismopedia</em> sp.</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botryococcaceae</td>
<td><em>Botryococcus</em> sp.</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of species</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: List of species and abundance (individuals per litre) of zooplankton at four sampling stations in Lake Naivasha.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Oserian Bay</th>
<th>Crescent Island</th>
<th>Malewa River Mouth</th>
<th>Central Beach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyclopoidae</td>
<td><em>Thermocyclops</em> sp.</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Mesocyclops</em> sp.</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daphniidae</td>
<td><em>Ceriodaphnia</em> sp.</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Daphnia pulex</em></td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidae</td>
<td><em>Diaphanosoma</em> sp.</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moinidae</td>
<td><em>Moina micrura</em></td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chydoridae</td>
<td><em>Chydorus</em> sp.</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachionidae</td>
<td><em>B. calyciflorus</em></td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>B. angularis</em></td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>B.caudatus</em></td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>B.falcatus</em></td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Keratella cochlearis</em></td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Keratella tropica</em></td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filiniidae</td>
<td><em>Filinia</em> sp.</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchaetidae</td>
<td><em>Polyarthra</em> sp.</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichocercidae</td>
<td><em>Tricocerca</em> sp.</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>65.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vorticellidae</td>
<td><em>Ciliated protozoans</em></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of species</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3 Food and feeding

4.3.1 Feeding habit

Of the four hundred *C. gariepinus* examined, 100 (25%) were completely empty. From the rest, 53 (13.5%) were fingerlings and juveniles (4.5-11.5 cm length) which were collected using mosquito nets. All fingerlings collected had full stomachs. The major food items ingested by *C. gariepinus* were zooplankton (24.6%), fish (23.1%) and insects (21.4%) (Figure 4).

![Figure 4: The percentage contribution of food items consumed by *C. gariepinus* in Lake Naivasha (INS-Insects, ZPL-Zooplankton, DET-Detritus, IR-Insect remains, SCA-scales, PM-Plant material, FSH-Fish, MSC-mollusc, FEG-Fish eggs).](image)

Distribution of major food items ingested by *C. gariepinus* are presented in Table 6. The commonest ingested zooplankton was *Chydorus* sp. followed by *Ceriodaphnia* sp. and *Filinia Pejleri*. The most eaten insects were the Notonectidae *Micronecta scutellaris*, followed by chironomid larvae (Table 6). Detritus contributed 10.1% of total volume of food. Insect remains and fish scales accounted for 7.9 and 3.9 % of total volume, respectively. Mollusc and fish eggs contributed 4% and 2.9% of the food items. The contribution of plant material was low and accounted for 2.1% of the total volume (Table 6).
Table 6: The percentage occurrence of different food items identified from the stomachs of 400 individuals of *C. gariepinus* in Lake Naivasha.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food items</th>
<th>Point method (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insects</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Notonectidea</em></td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Naucoridae</em></td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chironomid larvae</em></td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chironomid pupae</em></td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>21.4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rotifers</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Filinia Pejleri</em></td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tricocerca</em></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Keratella</em></td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Polyarthra</em></td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>7.4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cladoceras</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ceriodaphnia</em></td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Diaphanosoma</em></td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Daphnia pulex</em></td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Moina micrura</em></td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chydorus sp.</em></td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>17.2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Others</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Detritus</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Insect remains</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Fish scales</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Plant material</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Fish</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Mollusc</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Fish eggs</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.2 Ontogenic shift

Ontogenic shift was observed in the diet of *C. gariepinus*. Small fishes tended to feed on high proportion of phytoplankton, insects and detritus while adults fed more on zooplankton, fish (*O. niloticus*) and cray fish (Figure 5).

![Graph showing food items consumption by C. gariepinus](image)

Figure 5: The percentage contribution of different food items consumed by *C. gariepinus* in different length classes (ZPL-zooplankton, PHY-phytoplankton, INS-insects, FSH-fish, DET-detritus, PM-plant material).

4.3.3 Spatial distribution

The analysis of stomach contents was used to investigate changes in spatial feeding pattern. Fish samples from Oserian Bay and Crescent Island stations fed on high proportion of fish (*O. niloticus*), crayfish and detritus (Figure 6). There were significant differences ($\chi^2$ test; df = 7, $P < 0.05$) in consumed food items such as insects, detritus, scales plant material, fish and fish eggs between Malewa and Oserian Bay stations ($\chi^2 = 15.9; \chi^2 = 6.5; \chi^2 = 12.6; \chi^2 = 12.6; \chi^2 = 20.6; \chi^2 = 45.4; \chi^2 = 8.3; \chi^2 = 8.3; P < 0.05$). Fish from Malewa station fed on relatively high proportion of seeds, insect remains and insects (Figure 7). The proportion of insects consumed by *C. gariepinus* at Central Beach was higher followed by plant material and crayfish (Figure 7). There were significant differences ($\chi^2$ test; df = 6, $P < 0.05$) in consumed food items such as insects, zooplankton, scales, plant material and fish...
between Crescent Island and Central Beach stations ($\chi^2 = 30; P < 0.05$, $\chi^2 = 24.7; P < 0.05$, $\chi^2 = 11.6; P < 0.05$), respectively.

Figure 6: The percentage contribution of food items consumed by *C. gariepinus* at Crescent Island and Oserian Bay stations (INS-insects, ZPL-zooplankton, DET-detritus, IR-insect remains, SCA-scales, PM-plant material, FSH-fish, FEG-fish eggs).

Figure 7: The percentage contribution of food items consumed by *C. gariepinus* at Malewa and Central Beach stations (SED-seeds, INS-insects, ZPL-zooplankton, DET-detritus, IR-insect remains, SCA-scales, PM-plant material, CFSH-crab fish, MSC-mollusc, FEG-fish eggs).
4.3.4 Food selection

Food selection analysis was done for 84 fishes in the size class that ranged from 4.5 - 40 cm. *Aulacoseira* sp. and *Melosira* sp. were consistently the most consumed prey items in the diet of *C. gariepinus*. Other intensively consumed food items were the phytoplankton, *Phacus* sp., *Microcystis* sp., and ciliated protozoa. Strauss selective (E) values showed positive selectivity for members of the Bacillariophyceae, Chlorophyceae, Cyanophyceae, Vorticellidae and Brachionidae and negative selectivity for the members of Desmidiaceae, Dinophyceae Euglenophyceae and Daphniidae families (Figure 8).

**Figure 8:** The feeding selectivity of *C. gariepinus* from Lake Naivasha, Kenya.

4.4 Fecundity

Fish samples used had lengths that ranged from 18 - 86 cm with a mean (mean ± SD) value of 27.2±13.5 cm and weight of 60 to 4300 g with a mean value of 326.3±885.1 g respectively. The weight of the ovaries used ranged from 2.3 g to 652.5 g with mean of 36.3±114.2 g. Fecundity from the samples ranged between 1,260 to 354,361 with a mean of 18,209±65,174 eggs. The diameter of eggs measured ranged from 1.5 mm to 2.4 mm with a mean of 1.9±0.2 mm. There were significant variations in fecundity between different size classes (One Way ANOVA, F= 9.12, df =2, P = < 0.05).

The relationships between fecundity and total length (cm) and total weight (g) were curvilinear while that of fecundity with ovary weight (g) was linear (Figure 9).
Figure 9: The relationships between fecundity and morphometric parameters, a) total length, b) total weight, and c) ovary weight of *C. gariepinus* in Lake Naivasha.
The relationship of fecundity with morphometric parameters was described using the following equations;

\[ F=0.486TL^{3.494} \quad (r^2= 0.922, P< 0.05) \]

\[ F=12.041TW^{1.182} \quad (r^2= 0.882, P< 0.05) \]

\[ F= 568.02OW^{2383.7} \quad (r^2= 0.989, P<0.05) \]

The curvilinear relationship between fecundity against total length and total weight showed positive correlation and directly proportional to the length and weight of the fish. On the other hand, the fecundity increased progressively with the ovary weight of the fish. There were significant relationships between fecundity with total length, total weight and ovary weight (P < 0.05).

4.5 Length at first maturity (L_{50})

The smallest mature female sampled was 18 cm and weighed 90 g while the smallest mature male sampled was 24 cm and weighed 100 g. The percentages of male and female fish having gonad developmental stages IV and V were plotted against length for each sex (Figure 10) to determine length at first maturity. The length at first maturity of both males and females were 18.9 cm and 42 cm, respectively.

Figure 10: The length at first maturity of male and female *C. gariepinus* in Lake Naivasha.
4.6 Length - weight relationship

Length–weight equations were calculated separately for males, females and both sexes combined. A total of 324 males, 76 females and 400 combined sexes of individuals of *C. gariepinus* were used for length-weight calculation. Both male and female fish from Malewa station showed positive allometric growth with $b$ values of 3.14 and 3.24 ($b > 3$) as shown in figures 11a and 11b. Female fishes from Crescent Island showed isometric growth pattern ($b=3$) whereas male fish showed negative allometric growth (Figures, 12a and 12b). Both male and female fish from Oserian Bay station showed negative allometric growth with $b$ values of 2.79 and 2.71 ($b < 3$) as shown in figures 13a and 13b. Similarly both male and female fish from Central Beach stations showed negative allometric growth pattern with $b$ values of 2.69 and 2.73 ($b < 3$) (Figures, 14a and 14b). The length-weight values for male, female and combined sexes among all sampling stations were 2.82, 2.88 and 2.84 respectively. However, the relationship was not significantly different ($t=0.373$, df=6, $P > 0.05$) from the isometric exponent value of 3 between sexes. The LWR equations for all sampling stations are summarized in Table 7 below;

Table 7: Length-weight relationship equations for *C. gariepinus* in Lake Naivasha

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>LWR equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malewa River Mouth</td>
<td>$\log_{10} W = -2.4974 + 3.2469 \log_{10} TL$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oserian Bay</td>
<td>$\log_{10} W = -1.6926 + 2.7383 \log_{10} TL$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crescent Island</td>
<td>$\log_{10} W = -1.7449 + 2.7073 \log_{10} TL$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Beach</td>
<td>$\log_{10} W = -1.7877 + 2.7020 \log_{10} TL$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All sampling stations</td>
<td>$\log_{10} W = -1.8968 + 2.8375 \log_{10} TL$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 11: The length-weight relationship (Log TL, Log W) of a) female, and b) male *C. gariepinus* at Malewa.

Figure 12: The length-weight relationship (Log TL, Log W) of a) female and b) male *C. gariepinus* at Crescent Island.
Figure 13: The length-weight relationship (Log TL, Log W) of a) female and b) male *C. gariepinus* at Oserian Bay.

Figure 14: The length-weight relationship (Log TL, Log W) of a) female and b) male *C. gariepinus* at Central Beach.
4.7 Relative condition factor (K<sub>n</sub>)

Relative condition factor of male <i>C. gariepinus</i> at Crescent Island station ranged from 0.668 to 1.462 while that of females ranged from 0.732 to 1.333. The relative condition factor of the male <i>C. gariepinus</i> at Oserian Bay station ranged from 0.465 to 2.943 while that of the females ranged from 0.777 to 1.575. The relative condition factor of the male <i>C. gariepinus</i> at Malewa station ranged from 0.002 to 1.674 while that of the females ranged from 0.938 to 1.015. The relative condition factor of the male <i>C. gariepinus</i> at Central Beach station ranged from 0.592 to 2.108 while that of the females ranged from 0.780 to 2.108, respectively. The mean condition values for all males, females and combined sexes in all sampling stations were 1.028, 1.409 and 1.030 respectively. The highest value (1.142) was recorded for female <i>C. gariepinus</i> at Oserian Bay station while the lowest value (0.957) was recorded for male fish at Crescent Island station (Figure 15). Relative condition factor values between sexes did not vary significantly (Mann-Whitney test=10892.500, n<sub>1</sub>=76, n<sub>2</sub>=324, P > 0.05). However, this values showed significant variation between sexes at Oserian Bay station (Mann-Whitney test=1216.00, n<sub>1</sub>=15, n<sub>2</sub>=109, P < 0.05).

![Figure 15: The relative condition factors of C. gariepinus in four sampling station in Lake Naivasha.](image-url)
4.8 Sex ratio

From a total of 400 individuals of *C. gariepinus*, 324 (81.0%) were males while the remaining 76 (19.0%) were females. The males dominated the fish population in all sampling stations. The overall ratio of males to females was 4.26 (Table 8). This ratio was significantly different from the hypothetical ratio of 1:1 ($\chi^2=77.28$; df = 5; $P < 0.05$). Fish of size class 20-29.9 cm recorded the highest value (5.6) while size class of 10-19.9 cm and ≥ 50 cm recorded the lowest ratio of 0.67 (Table, 8). The ratio of males to females was significantly different at size classes 0-9.9 cm ($\chi^2=5.61$; $P < 0.05$), 20-29.9 cm ($\chi^2=56.44$; $P < 0.05$), and 30-39.9 cm ($\chi^2 = 20.52$; $P < 0.05$) (Table 8).

Table 8: The number of male and female *C. gariepinus* of different size classes and their corresponding sex ratios from Lake Naivasha (figures with * indicate significant at $P=0.05$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length class (cm)</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Sex ratio</th>
<th>Chi-square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-9.9</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>5.61*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-19.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29.9</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>56.44*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39.9</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>20.52*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>324</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>77.28*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER FIVE
DISCUSSION

5.1 Fish morphometrics and physico-chemical parameters

Fish ecology and biology are known to be influenced by biotic and abiotic factors. Changes in physico-chemical parameters such as dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH and salinity are among the abiotic factors which influence the physiology of fish that indirectly affect metabolism, feeding rate and spawning seasons (Timmons et al., 2001). *Clarias gariepinus* tolerates a wide range of water quality parameters and survive in low oxygen concentrations (Skelton, 2001). However, healthy growth and reproduction are greater, within the optimum range of temperature; 26 to 32°C, dissolved oxygen; 3 to 5 mg/L and pH of 6.5 to 9 respectively (FAO, 2006). The various physico-chemical parameters recorded from four stations were within the acceptable limits for growth and health for *C. gariepinus*. The significant correlations observed between dissolved oxygen and pH with fish condition factor and slope of regression line $b$ indicate the major roles played by dissolved oxygen in metabolism, food supply and growth of fish (Timmons et al., 2001; Taylor and Miller, 2001; Saloom and Duncan, 2005; Buentello et al., 2010). Studies by Kane et al., (2001), Boyd and Gross, (2000), and Bhatnagar and Devi, (2013) showed that temperature, pH and dissolved oxygen are among the most important factors that affect the body functions of fishes. In addition pH affects physiology and internal fluid balance of fish that interfere with metabolism and growth (Tassaduqe et al., 2003). Any variation beyond optimum range could be fatal to many aquatic organisms (Furhan et al., 2004). The insignificant correlation observed between temperature and conductivity with fish condition factor and length-weight relationship could be due to the fact that *C. gariepinus* has morphological characteristics that enable the species to adapt to various adverse environmental conditions. For example, possession of specialised suprabranchial organ for breathing. This organ is a large paired chamber with branches above the gill arches specifically adapted for air breathing that helps to survive for considerable periods without water (Van der Waal, 1998; Skelton, 2001). The species can live in very poorly oxygenated waters and is one of the last species to live in such uninhabitable places. They are also able to secret mucus to prevent drying and are able to burrow in the muddy substrate in drying water bodies (Van der Waal, 1998).
5.2 Phytoplankton and zooplankton analysis
The numerical dominance of Bacillariophyceae, algal group in all sampling stations is in line with the findings and observations made by Hubble and Harper, (2002) in Lake Naivasha. According to Hubble and Harper (2002), most diatoms are indicators of moderate to high nutrient conditions. These results also agree with other studies (Hubble and Harper, 2002; Ballot et al., 2009; Harper et al., 2011) which also reported the dominance of only two groups of phytoplankton (diatoms and cyanobacteria) in Lake Naivasha. Similarly Harper et al., (2011) reported the dominance of phytoplankton belonging to *Aulacoseira* sp., both numerically and in terms of contribution to overall primary production followed by toxin-forming *Microcystis* sp. This could be an indication of changes in physico-chemical parameters caused by horticultural runoff, pollution by livestock watering and cloth washing by local residents using phosphorus-based detergents around the lake. Degradation coupled with the effects of species introductions resulted in change in eutrophic state of the lake and in phytoplankton composition (Kitaka et al., 2002; Ballot et al., 2009; Harper et al., 2011). Degradation could also explain the dominance of only one family of zooplankton namely Brachionidae in all of four sampling stations followed by Trichocercidae and Cyclopoidae. This however disagrees with results of Uku and Mavuti, (1994), who recorded the dominance of Daphniidae in Lake Naivasha. This could be attributed to progressive changes and increase in nutrient concentrations in the lake leading to the dominance by species better adapted to the new conditions (Hubble and Harper, 2002; Mergeay et al., 2004). According to Mironga et al., (2014) high infestation and formation of dense mats by water hyacinth caused reduction in dissolved oxygen content and this affects abundance and diversity of zooplankton in Lake Naivasha. Thus, changes in physico-chemical parameters play a crucial role in determination of phytoplankton and zooplankton species richness and abundance (Uku and Mavuti, 1994).

5.3 Food and feeding habit
From the results, *C. gariepinus* fed on a variety of food items including all types of phytoplankton (e.g., *Microcystis*), ciliated protozoa, zooplankton, insects, and other bottom fauna. This could be due to its morphological adaptations (big mouth, marginal and pharyngeal teeth, tough and muscular stomach and short intestine) and omnivorous predation habit, where *C. gariepinus* can switch from one type of food item to the other depending on availability (Dadebo, 2009; Wakil et al., 2014). Ontogenic shift observed during study could be due to changing capabilities of fish. Generally, fish grow in a pattern of level from eggs to adults and their feeding habit changes markedly throughout
the life time thus, they become more effective at manipulating larger prey as they grow big that are more beneficial (Hildrew et al., 2007; Takefumi, 2015).

Spatial changes in feeding pattern of *C. gariepinus* were also observed in this study. Individuals from Malewa river mouth tended to feed on high proportion of seeds, insects and insect remains probably due to high abundance of these food items brought in by inflowing rivers. These results agree with those of Khan et al., (2013) who worked on freshwater catfish *Eutrophichthys vacha* in Pakhtunghwa Rivers, Pakistan and Shinkafi et al., (2010) who worked on *Synodontis nigrita* in River Rima, Nigeria, and found that the fish fed predominantly on aquatic insects. High consumption of insects (*Hemiptera*) and plant materials were recorded in the guts of fish from Central Beach sampling station. This may be due to the dense vegetation and shallow nature of the area which was used as a habitat for free living insects. Fish collected from Oserian and Crescent stations had high proportions of fish followed by detritus in the diet. This could be attributed to easy accessibility of fish to open water and to the highly nutritional benefits of detritus to *C. gariepinus* (Dadebo, 2009).

The wide range of food exhibited by *C. gariepinus* revealed its feeding flexibility, and morphological adaptation that enables it to change from one food category to the other in response to the abundance of prey items in the environment. In a study of the feeding habits of five fish species (*O. leucostictus, T. zillii, M. salmoides, B. amphigramma and P. reticulata*) in Lake Naivasha, Hickley et al., (2002) concluded that zooplankton, phytoplankton and benthos especially chironomids were underutilized. This study has therefore revealed that, those food items that were previously underutilized are intensively consumed by *C. gariepinus* there-by filling some gap in the basic food web in the Lake. The feeding habits of *C. gariepinus* have been studied widely (Willoughby and Tweddle, 1978; Clay, 1979; Dadebo, 2000; Solak and Akyurt, 2001; Brewster, 2007; Dadebo, 2009; Dadebo et al., 2011; Omondi et al., 2013; Abera et al., 2014; Admassu et al., 2015). Their findings showed its euryphagous feeding habits including feeding on terrestrial and aquatic insects, snails, zooplankton, benthic organisms and fish which are similar to results obtained during this study.
5.4 Food selection

High consumption of phytoplankton especially diatoms by *C. gariepinus* reported in this study agrees with the study done by Khan *et al.*, (2013), in Pakhtunghwa Rivers, Pakistan. From the gut analysis of juvenile fishes, large amounts of *Microcystis* were also consumed by *C. gariepinus*. This indicated that the species is an omnivore that fed on all types of phytoplankton (Shinkafi *et al.*, 2010). Pine *et al.*, (2005) reported that catfish randomly and nonselectively fed on available food organisms when the density of preferred prey items is low. Another study by Yilmaz *et al.*, (2006) also found positive prey selection by *C. gariepinus* for rotifers compared to other zooplankton species under laboratory conditions. This agrees with results recorded during this study. Positive selectivity for *Microcystis*, diatoms and rotifers in the diet of *C. gariepinus* could also be due to their high abundance in the lake. This indicated that *C. gariepinus* opportunistically fed on most common food items available in the system. Lowe-McConnell, (1995) found out that most fishes show considerable plasticity in their diet. Thus, most predator and omnivore fish fed on whatever food items are available seasonally or by active selection of preferred foods according to individual choice.

5.5 Fecundity

In this study, fecundity increased in proportion to 3.5 power of total length and 1.2 power of total weight. These results agree with the results of Bagenal and Braum (1978) who reported that the slope of the fitted line is 3 when fecundity is related with total length and 1 when it is related to total weight. The fecundity of *C. gariepinus* which ranged from 1,260 to 354,361 eggs during this study is lower than 5,000 to 1,240,000 eggs reported by Dadebo *et al.*, (2011) for *C. gariepinus* in Lake Chamo. This is probably due to the fact that some fish species are known to exhibit wide variations in fecundity even within individuals of the same species (Wotton, 1990). Food availability can also influence the condition of the female producing eggs, altering strategies or change the best approach to egg size, depending on foods available to larvae in the environment (Offem *et al.*, 2008; Matthews, 2012). Although LoweMcConnell, (1959) found that fish in poor conditions have lower fecundity than those in better condition. These results, show that fecundity increases with increasing fish size. However, the egg size decreased with increase in the number of eggs during the study, which is in line with findings by Bone *et al.*, (1996).
The range of egg diameter observed in this study was larger compared to that found in eggs of other catfishes (Shinkafi and Ipinjolu, 2012; Offem et al., 2008). This could be due to the fact that larger eggs have more yolk, production of larger eggs could be attributed to extended protection strategy, thus when the eggs hatch the larva have more yolk to feed on. Moreover, the larva become independent of the mother and have greater chance of survival when environmental conditions are not satisfactory. Yalcin et al., (2001) found a size range of 1-1.54 mm diameter for C. gariepinus eggs in River Asi, Turkey. Shinkafi and Ipinjolu, (2012) found 0.70-1.85 mm diameter for giraffe nosed catfish (Auchenoglanis occidentalis) in River Rima, North-Western Nigeria. Dadebo et al., (2011) found egg size range of 0.47 to 1.36 mm diameter for C. gariepinus in Lake Chamo, Ethiopia. However, Offem et al., (2008) reported size range of 1.7-4.7 mm diameter for C. nigrodigitatus in Cross River, Nigeria, which is much larger than the present study. Wotton (1990) found out that egg-size variations in fishes are attributed to energy reserves over spawning season. Thus, a switch in the stored energy from reproduction to growth results in smaller and bigger eggs, respectively. Moreover, changes in environmental factors affecting the spawning adults may probably cause egg-size variations. Several researchers have reported fecundity of catfish from some African waters (Lung'ayia, 1994; Dadebo, 2000; Dadebo et al., 2011; Abdijan and Ivorie, 2014; Konan et al., 2014). Results showed that, fecundity is related to body weight, length and gonad weight of fish and regulated by availability of nutritional resources which is in line with the current study.

5.6 Length at first maturity (Lm50)

The length at first maturity is of special interest in fisheries management and is widely used as an indicator for minimum permissible capture size (Lucifora et al., 1999). Some fish species mature as early as in the first year. The time to maturity indicates a great deal about the productivity of a population and its ability to recover from environmental disturbances (Matthews, 2012). The mean size at first maturity was 18.9 cm for females and 42 cm for males. The maturity results for females in this study was much smaller than results reported by Dadebo et al., (2011) which was 58 cm for females and 52 cm for males in Lake Chamo, Ethiopia. These variations may be due to environmental factors, such as food availability. Thorpe et al., (1998) found out that the process of maturation is significantly influenced by the environment. Owiti and Dadzie, (1989), reported that catfish attain its first maturity in a range of 41-45 cm in low altitude environments. According to Blazek et al., (2013), dry and hot climate selected for short life span, while stable, predator-free and cold condition resulted in delayed sexual maturity and limited reproductive output in fishes. The results from this study differ from those recorded by Lung'ayia, (1994) who reported size at massive
maturity of 21.0 cm for both sexes in the Kenyan part of Lake Victoria which is smaller than in the present study. The early maturity of females of *C. gariepinus* could be due to the fact that the species is new to Lake Naivasha thus, rapid production of early offsprings helps in establishment of the population. Matthews, (2012) found out that early maturity in fishes was often attributed to maximizing the reproductive success of the species in particular physical habitats. However, fishes are known to mature early when there is stress due to overfishing and use of inappropriate mesh size gear (Hossain *et al.*, 2012).

5.7 Length-weight relationship

Fish can attain either isometric, positive allometric or negative allometric growth. Isometric growth is associated with no change of body shape as the fish grows. Negative allometric growth implies the fish becomes more slender as it increases in weight while positive allometric growth implies stoutness of the body as the fish increases in length (Riedel *et al.*, 2007). In this study, both male and female fish from Malewa sampling station showed positive allometric growth with *b* values of 3.24 and 3.14, respectively. Similar results of 3.16 and 3.23 for males and females *C. gariepinus* were recorded by Dadebo *et al.*, (2011) in Lake Chamo, Ethiopia. Willoughby and Tweddle, (1978) and Bruton, (1979) also reported similar length-weight regression coefficients. However, the researchers reported regression coefficients for both sexes combined. The positive allometric growth values also indicate homogeneity of fish groups in their populations. The length-weight values for combined sexes for all sampling stations obtained during the study showed negative allometric growth pattern with *b* value of 2.84. A similar study by Anyanwu *et al.*, (2007), on *C. gariepinus*, found length-weight value of 1.87 for combined sexes, which is much smaller than the present study. Negative allometric growth indicates the fish becomes more slender as it increase in weight. However, similar study by Keyombe *et al.*, (2015) working on *C. gariepinus* in Lake Naivasha, and Britton and Harper, (2006) in Lake Baringo obtained *b* value of 3.24 for combined sexes, indicating positive allometric growth, which disagrees with results of this study. This could be due to difference in sample size and spatial variation in food availability among stations. Moreover, the differences in *b* values are often related to allocation of energy for the production of gametes, degree of stomach fullness, sex and preservation techniques (Hossain, 2010). The amount of energy allocation will also depend on intrinsic (genetic) and environmentally driven factors such as temperature and feeding.

5.8 Relative condition factor (*Kn*)

Relative condition factor is an index reflecting the effect of interactions between biotic and abiotic factors on the physiological condition of fishes (Blackwell *et al.*, 2000). It shows the wellbeing of
fish. The mean condition factor obtained in this study was greater than one (1) except at Crescent Island station which showed a value of less than one. These results indicate the health status and better ecological adaptation of *C. gariepinus* in Lake Naivasha. The good condition factor values could also be due to water quality parameters which were within optimum range for *C. gariepinus* during this study. The values in this study were higher than 0.58 and 0.53 for male and female *C. gariepinus* reported by Keyombe *et al.*, (2015) in Lake Naivasha. This could be due to differences in sample sizes and sampling stations. Thus, the previous work was based on 139 individuals of *C. gariepinus* collected from Oserian Bay and Crescent Island, whereas this study is based on 400 individuals collected from four sampling stations. Studies by Offem *et al.*, (2008) and Dadebo *et al.*, (2011) reported, 0.35 - 0.34 and 0.72 - 0.99 for female and male *C. gariepinus* which are smaller than the present study. This could be due to the fact fish condition factor (K) is influenced by a number of factors such as stress, sex, season, maturity stage, availability of food and water quality parameters (Khallaf *et al.*, 2003; Davies *et al.*, 2013). The relative condition factor values of the male and female fish were not significantly different in the present study. This might be attributed to either the small number of mature females among sampling sites or selectivity of the fishing gear. However, the value shows significant variations between sexes at Oserian Bay station. The reason could be due to the difference in availability and abundance of food items between sampling stations. Similar results were recorded by Anyanwu *et al.*, (2007) and Hossain, (2010). A study by Hossain, *et al.*, (2012), concluded that condition of the fishes is generally affected by season and highly related with gonad development. However, short time data were used in the present study thus, it is therefore difficult to compare the condition of fishes throughout the year.

### 5.9 Sex ratio

The overall sex ratio of *C. gariepinus* in this study was significantly different from the expected 1:1 as the sample was dominated by males. This could be due to the difference in growth rates of both sexes. Offem *et al.*, (2008) working in the Cross River, Nigeria and Dadebo *et al.*, (2011) working in Lake Chamo, Ethiopia reported faster growth of males than females. This leads in capture of higher percentage of males than females. The faster growth of males might be as a result of use of all the food for growth only. The slower growth of females could be due to more energy allocation for reproduction than growth (Willoughby and Tweddle, 1978). Bruton, (1979) pointed out that in African water bodies, it is common that the males dominate fish population due to their faster growth.
CHAPTER SIX
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 Conclusion
This study found out that *C. gariepinus* is a generalist and has an opportunistic feeding behaviour ingesting a variety of food organisms ranging from phytoplankton to fish. This indicates that the species can easily establish in the lake. Spatial variation in feeding habit of *C. gariepinus* was observed. Fecundity studies showed wide variations in the number of eggs among different size classes of the fish. Smaller size at first maturity was exhibited by female *C. gariepinus*. Both male and female fish showed isometric growth pattern. Generally, catfish in Lake Naivasha are in good condition and its population is dominated by males. Insignificant correlations between some limnological parameters with fish morphometrics obtained during this study revealed tolerant nature of *C. gariepinus* which survive in extremely poorer conditions than any other fish species. This is the first study that provides baseline information on the feeding pattern, sex ratio, length at first maturity and fecundity of *C. garepinus*, and the first record of variability in spatial feeding regime among four sampling stations in Lake Naivasha.

6.2 Recommendations
The feeding habit analysed during this study was based on data obtained for short period of time. Due to the fact that catfishes are generalist feeders, the diet changes with seasonal changes in food availability. So this study recommends studies on feeding pattern to be carried out to investigate temporal variability and diel feeding rhythm. Also from the studies, all the fish samples caught were collected during night. Therefore it would be important to carry out more studies to investigate the diel feeding rhythm. Use of histological procedures would also be important for better estimation of fecundity. Awareness creation among local fishermen is necessary to avoid destructive fishing thus, helping juvenile fishes to grow and mature.
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